

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,801	04/07/2000	Shenpei Yamazaki	SEL 174	1717
75	90 08/23/2006	EXAMINER		
Cook Alex McFarron Manzo Cummings & Mehler LTD			ERDEM, FAZLI	
200 West Adam Chicago, IL 60	s Street Suite 2850 0606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2826	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1,
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan		09/544,801	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Fazli Erdem	2826	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 1	Claim(s) 10-14,17-23,26,27,68-71 and 76-88 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 10-14,17-23,26 and 27 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 68-71 and 76-88 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. The election requirement. The epted or b) □ objected to by the E		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	= : :	, ,	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction is objected to by the Example 1.		· ·	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/29/2006.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/544,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 10-14, 17-23, 26 and 27 allowed.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 68-71 and 76-88 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 23-25, 27-33, 35 and 36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,573,564. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both disclose a semiconductor device comprising: a semiconductor layer over a substrate, said semiconductor layer comprising a pair of impurity regions and a channel-forming region interposed therebetween; a gate electrode over said channel-forming region with a gate insulating film interposed therebetween, said gate electrode comprising a first

Art Unit: 2826

conductive layer, a gate wiring in contact with said gate electrode, said gate wiring comprising a

Page 3

second conductive layer, and a storage capacitor comprising a portion of said semiconductor

layer, a portion of said gate insulating film, a same material a said first conductive layer, and a

same material as said second conductive layer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 28%

FE

August 6, 2006